

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/019,866 08/07/2002		08/07/2002	Erling Sundrehagen	7885.81USWO	8079
23552	7590	06/07/2005		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC				ZEMAN, MARY K	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1631	
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/019,866	SUNDREHAGEN, ERLING				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mary K. Zeman	1631				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days illianply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
	Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>28-55</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>28-55</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \[\begin{align*} Interview Columns of the	(PTO 412)				
2)	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4)					

Application/Control Number: 10/019,866

Art Unit: 1631

, 🗟

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 28-55 are pending in this application. The sequence related amendments and sequence listing of 12/22/04 have been entered.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/24/2004 have been fully considered but they are not completely persuasive.

Claims 28-55 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakayama (WO 99/13332, US Equivalent 6,432,632 B1) for the reasons set forth below, and of record.

Applicant argues that Nakayama does not provide for methods wherein the binders are of low molecular weight, specifically below 5000. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Nakayama specifically contemplates the use of low molecular weight binders at column 7 lines 18-25. "the peptide as biological substance refers to those having a molecular weight of less than about 1000. the protein as a biological substance refers those having a molecular weight of about 1000 or more. While Nakayama et al is largely directed to high molecular weight complexes, the teachings therein specifically include the use of low molecular weight compounds. Nakayama also discloses the same compositions in kits. As such, Nakayama remains anticipatory.

As set forth previously, The claims are drawn to methods of determining the concentration of an analyte in a test sample, wherein a fluorescence polarization method is used to detect binding between the labeled reagent and the analyte. The method takes place in a single stage, with no washing steps or multiple additions of reagents. The sample can be any biological fluid such as blood. The binding agent can be a low molecular weight aptamer or binder, an antibody or fragment thereof. The assay can be for a single analyte, or multiple analytes. The fluorescence label has an absorption above 640nm. The reagent can comprise lysing reagents or anti-coagulants. The label can be one of any number of dyes including Texas Red, Cy5, or one of the Biodypi reagents, and is covalently linked to the binding agent. The assay can be measured as a function of time. Standard curves, temperature compensation etc can be used and stored in the polarization instrument being used. The method can be used to determine the concentrations of clinically related substances. Reagents and kits comprising the reagents are also claimed. It is noted that the kits merely require the reagent in a container.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,866

Art Unit: 1631

, 😮

Nakayama et al. (WO 99/13332 A1 3/18/99) was published more than a year before the priority document was filed. The WO document is not in English. US 6,432,632 is the US National Stage patent, which resulted from the WO document, and is a proper English Language equivalent. All references to column and line number are to the US Patent document.

The binding partner of Nakayama is specifically fluorescently labeled, with a dye such as rhodamine, that has absorption and emission within the claimed parameters. The labeled binding reagent is mixed with the biological sample, and the change in the fluorescence polarization is determined without further washing, adsorbing or detecting steps. (abstract) The binding reagent may be an antibody capable of binding a peptide, or a peptide capable of binding an antibody. (column 2 lines 1-65) C-reactive protein is specifically contemplated. (col 2 line 34-35) Fluorescent dyes specifically contemplated include rhodamine, cyanin, pyrene, etc. (col 5 lines 60-65). The sample may be any type of biological fluid such as blood. (col. 6 lines 65-67) Standard curves and temperature corrections can be prepared ahead of time and stored. (see examples) The methods of Nakayama can be used to determine the concentration of clinically relevant molecules in samples from living organisms. Nakayama discloses the reagents and kits comprising the reagents. As such, Nakayama meets the limitations of the claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary K Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272 0723

Art Unit: 1631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, PhD can be reached on (571) 272 0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

MARY K. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER